

### **RatingsDirect**®

#### **Research Update:**

# Norwegian Municipality of Stavanger Affirmed At 'AA+/A-1+'; Outlook Stable

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Andrea Croner, Stockholm (46) 8-440-5921; andrea.croner@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Carl Nyrerod, Stockholm (46) 8-440-5919; carl.nyrerod@spglobal.com

#### **Research Contributor:**

Dennis Nilsson, Stockholm 46(0)84405354; dennis.nilsson@spglobal.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

**Key Statistics** 

Ratings Score Snapshot

Key Sovereign Statistics

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

#### **Research Update:**

## Norwegian Municipality of Stavanger Affirmed At 'AA+/A-1+'; Outlook Stable

#### **Overview**

- Stavanger benefits from its very strong local economy and strong financial management, and from Norway's extremely predictable and supportive institutional framework.
- We are affirming our 'AA+' long-term and 'A-1+' short-term ratings on Stavanger.
- The stable outlook reflects our base-case forecast that, through 2019, Stavanger will present strong budgetary performance and keep its liquidity position at least at the current level.

#### **Rating Action**

On Jan. 13, 2017, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA+' long-term and 'A-1+ short-term issuer credit ratings on the Norwegian Municipality of Stavanger. The outlook is stable.

#### Rationale

The ratings reflect our assessment of Stavanger's very strong economy, strong financial management, strong budgetary performance, and low contingent liabilities. A further support is our view of Norway's extremely predictable and supportive institutional framework. Our assessment of Stavanger's only adequate liquidity position, average budgetary flexibility, and moderate debt position offset these positives. The long-term rating on Stavanger is at the same level as its stand-alone credit profile, which we assess at 'aa+'.

We consider Norway's institutional framework to be a key component of our long-term rating on Stavanger. The Norwegian local and regional government (LRG) sector shows a high degree of institutional stability because it benefits from very strong system support. The central government regularly monitors the sector's financial status and distributes revenues through a far-reaching equalization system and extensive grant schemes. Mechanisms to handle financial distress of individual LRGs are well established through monitoring of LRGs' financial situation when needed.

In a global comparison, Stavanger's economy is very strong. Wages in Stavanger are high and we estimate that locally derived GDP per capita exceeds Norway's GDP per capita. However, in our view, the Norwegian LRG system has so many equalization components that we use the three-year average national GDP per capita of \$82,300 as the starting point for our analysis of Stavanger's economy. Stavanger is Norway's fourth-largest municipality, and its main responsibilities are elementary education, childcare, elderly care, social care, city planning, and infrastructure-related tasks. It has 133,234 inhabitants, equating to about 2.5% of the national population.

Stavanger has shown healthy growth over the past few decades, but because of depressed global market prices for oil- and gas-related products, economic activity has slowed in the city. Although Stavanger is the center of Norway's oil- and gas-related industry, it has a fairly diverse employment sector, with the public sector playing a predominant role. It is the administrative hub of southwestern Norway and, besides public administration employment, it hosts a university and a regional hospital. Due to the focus on the oil and gas industry, income levels are very high in Stavanger, at about 140% of the national average. Stavanger's high economic wealth helps to mitigate the exposure to the oil and gas sector.

We consider Stavanger's financial management to be strong. The administration model is clear regarding the division of responsibilities between politicians and civil servants. Elections were last held in 2015 and will take place next time in 2019. The ruling government consists of six political parties constituting a conservative-green majority. To date, there has been no major friction within the ruling coalition. Furthermore, we regard financial management as competent and effective at enforcing strict budget discipline with robust cost control.

We believe that Stavanger has demonstrated a track record of strong budgetary performance over the past years, and we have therefore revised our assessment, which was adequate previously. The city posted an operating surplus of 6.1% of operating revenues in 2016 (compared with 6.2% in 2015) with a small surplus after capital accounts of 0.2% of total revenues (improved from a 1.8% deficit in 2015). Despite subdued economic activity and rising unemployment, we forecast sound budgetary performance, with operating revenue growth outpacing that of operating expenditures in 2018-2019. In our base-case scenario for 2017-2019, we expect Stavanger will keep a firm grip on spending, facilitating the stabilization of operating balances at about 5.6% in 2019. However, we consider that Stavanger's investments will remain high over the coming three years, due to the increasing need to maintain educational facilities and build new schools and care facilities. Therefore, we think these investments are likely to result in deficits after capital accounts averaging 2.7% of total revenues in 2017-2019.

We view Stavanger's budgetary flexibility as average, and somewhat limited because the central government sets transfers and upper limits for personal income taxes. Consequently, with regards to operating revenues, Stavanger's only flexibility is to increase property tax within set limits, which could enhance revenues by about Norwegian krone (NOK) 250 million (€28 million). However, Stavanger's sizable assets, primarily shares in an energy company, show significant surplus values that could be sold, providing flexibility to raise capital revenues. In addition, Stavanger has some leeway to cut operating expenditures, given the already high standard of its assets.

We assess Stavanger's debt burden as moderate. Since we assume that the city's investments will remain high in our base-case scenario for 2017-2019, we forecast additional net new borrowings. As a result, we forecast Stavanger's tax-supported debt will increase to 86.5% of consolidated operating revenues by year-end 2019, from 80.4% in 2016. In our calculation of tax-supported debt, we include onlent debt to municipal companies and loans to households via state-owned bank Husbanken.

Unfunded pension liabilities are low and do not negatively affect our view of Stavanger's debt position. Stavanger has both commercial paper and medium-term notes outstanding.

In assessing contingent liabilities as low, we include guarantees to self-supporting toll-road companies. Furthermore, Stavanger's stakes in several limited liability companies constitute a pool of reserves, while its stake in energy company, LYSE, creates substantial dividend revenues. Stavanger could generate significant capital revenues by divesting these companies.

We are interpreting the criteria "Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing The Liquidity Of Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments And Related Entities And For Rating Their Commercial Paper Programs" with regards to Stavanger's commercial paper coverage.

#### Liquidity

We assess Stavanger's liquidity as adequate, taking into account both its 74% internal debt service coverage and its strong access to external liquidity. Stavanger uses NOK500 million in committed credit facilities from banks, as well as cash, to handle its liquidity needs and reduce refinancing risk. Consequently, Stavanger's cash, liquid assets, and available committed credit facilities amount to 74% of debt service over the next 12 months.

In assessing Stavanger's liquidity, we take into account the municipality's access to the capital markets, which we view as strong. We also believe that cash and amounts available under the liquidity facilities will cover 100% of the first 30 days due for Stavanger's outstanding CP.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that, over the next 24 months, Stavanger's efforts to contain operating expenditures and its possible use of its revenue flexibility will enable the municipality to present strong budgetary performance. As such, we expect that the municipality will maintain average tax-supported debt. We also expect Stavanger to maintain its liquidity position in relation to upcoming liquidity needs at least at the current level.

We could take a positive rating action on Stavanger if we observed a major structural strengthening of the municipality's liquidity, together with an improvement in its financial management, especially with regards to debt and liquidity management, leading to less exposure to short-term debt.

The ratings could come under pressure if we observed a loosening of liquidity management, leading to lower debt coverage ratios with higher reliance on short-term debt.

#### **Key Statistics**

Table 1

	Year end Dec. 31				
(Mil. NOK)	2015	2016	2017bc	2018bc	2019bc
Operating revenues	9,674	9,699	9,748	9,991	10,235
Operating expenditures	9,078	9,109	9,239	9,455	9,665
Operating balance	596	590	509	536	570
Operating balance (% of operating revenues)	6.2	6.1	5.2	5.4	5.6
Capital revenues	369	434	258	300	362
Capital expenditures	1,148	1,007	1,190	1,115	1,071
Balance after capital accounts	(182.7)	16.8	(422.9)	(279.1)	(138.9)
Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenues)	(1.8)	0.2	(4.2)	(2.7)	(1.3)
Debt repaid	2,221	875	1,390	2,314	1,437
Net budget loans	(40.6)	(67.9)	(101.4)	(101.5)	(100.6)
Balance after debt repayment and onlending	(2,444.3)	(926.1)	(1,914.3)	(2,694.6)	(1,676.0)
Balance after debt repayment and onlending (% of total revenues)	(24.3)	(9.1)	(19.1)	(26.2)	(15.8)
Gross borrowings	2,881	926	1,883	2,695	1,676
Balance after borrowings	436.3	(0.1)	(31.3)	0.4	(0.0)
Operating revenue growth (%)	4.8	0.3	0.5	2.5	2.4
Operating expenditure growth (%)	1.1	0.4	1.4	2.3	2.2
Modifiable revenues (% of operating revenues)	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.6
Capital expenditures (% of total expenditures)	11.2	10.0	11.4	10.6	10.0
Direct debt (outstanding at year and)	7,417	7,468	7,961	8,342	8,582
Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)		77.0	81.7	83.5	83.8
	76.7	11.0	01.7		
Direct debt (% of operating revenues)	76.7 80.2	80.4	84.7	86.3	
Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)  Direct debt (% of operating revenues)  Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenues)  Interest (% of operating revenues)					86.5

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. NOK--Norwegian krone. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario.

Table 2

Stavanger (Municipality of) Economic Statistics						
	Fiscal year end Dec. 31					
	2015	2016	2017bc	2018bc	2019bc	
Population growth (%)	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	
Unemployment rate (%)	4.5	5.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. Sources typically include national statistical offices, Eurostat, and Experian Limited. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectation of the most likely scenario. N/A--Not applicable.

#### **Ratings Score Snapshot**

#### Table 3

Stavanger	(Municipality	y of) Ratings :	Score Snapshot
-----------	---------------	-----------------	----------------

#### **Key rating factors**

Institutional framework	Extremely predictable and supportive
Economy	Very strong
Financial management	Strong
Budgetary flexibility	Average
Budgetary performance	Strong
Liquidity	Adequate
Debt burden	Moderate
Contingent liabilities	Low

<sup>\*</sup>S&P Global Ratings' ratings on local and regional governments (LRGs) are based on eight main rating factors listed in the table above. Section A of S&P Global Ratings' "Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments," published on June 30, 2014, summarizes how the eight factors are combined to derive the foreign currency rating on an LRG.

#### **Key Sovereign Statistics**

• Sovereign Risk Indicators, Dec. 14, 2016. An interactive version is available at http://www.spratings.com/sri.

#### Related Criteria And Research

#### Related Criteria

- Criteria Governments International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments - June 30, 2014
- Criteria Governments International Public Finance: Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing The Liquidity Of Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments And Related Entities And For Rating Their Commercial Paper Programs - October 15, 2009
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks September 14, 2009

#### Related Research

• Norway 'AAA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed On Wealthy Economy And Strong Financial

Standing; Outlook Stable - October 21, 2016

• Default, Transition, and Recovery: 2015 Annual International Public Finance Default Study And Rating Transitions - June 30, 2016

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot above.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria And Research').

#### **Ratings List**

Rating

To From

Stavanger (Municipality of)

Issuer Credit Rating

Foreign and Local Currency AA+/Stable/A-1+ AA+/Stable/A-1+

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com and at spcapitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495)

783-4009.

#### **Additional Contact:**

International Public Finance Ratings Europe; PublicFinanceEurope@standardandpoors.com

Copyright © 2017 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.